



Complete Baseline Data for Transboundary Flood and Erosion Hazard / Impact Assessment in the Great Lakes

Scientific Fantasy

Vs

Political Reality??

Chris Stewart

Christian J. Stewart Consulting



Recession Rates

- ☀️ Key data set for historical trends and prediction of future shoreline positions
- ☀️ Pro's
 - Numerous data sets in existence
 - Can generally identify shorelines in GL with high or low rates of erosion
 - Some great ongoing data collection efforts
 - Michigan
 - Ohio

Recession Rates

✦ Con's

- No consistent, standardized method of determination
 - Air photos, surveys, GIS methods
 - Top of bluff, toe of bluff, waterline, mid-bluff
- No standard for “degree of accuracy” that is tolerable or defensible
- Tend toward a focus on data collection in developed areas...what about areas to be developed?
- Long term vs short term?
 - No systematic, long-term, recurring surveys
- Differences in application of rates to setback calculation

Shore Protection

- ☀ How does shoreline protection influence shore response? Littoral response
 - Positive? Negative?
 - Need to know structure type/extent/quality
- ☀ Can not understand this unless we understand:
 - What was there (or not) before...
 - What is there (or not) now...
 - What will be there (or not) in the future

Shoreline Protection

- ☀ Limited comprehensive inventory / trend information
- ☀ Limited (if any) ongoing monitoring of new structures or maintenance/quality of older structures
- ☀ Where are new structures going in?
 - Permit data unreliable, inconsistent and not accurate
 - Many structures built without permits

Topography / Bathymetry

☀ Current Status

- Existing bathymetric data insufficient in spatial resolution...frequently outdated (particularly for open-coast wetlands)
- Topographic – current technologies allow for higher resolution than ever – LIDAR

☀ Need comprehensive and complete minimum 2 ft (0.6m) contouring for both bathymetry and topography

Political Roadblocks

☀ Issue Attention Cycle

- HWL = Public Outcry = \$studies = Data
- AVG WL = So What? = No \$\$ = No Data
- Low WL = Public Outcry = \$studies = Data

☀ Budgetary Cycles vs Program Objectives

- Long-term goals vs short-term budgets

☀ Administration Changes

- e.g., Ontario Shoreline Policy

Recent Initiatives

- ✿ Lake Michigan Potential Damages Study
 - Comprehensive, lakewide data sets and inventories
 - High resolution topography/bathymetry, DOPs, DOQQs
 - Advanced erosion and flood hazard delineation methods
 - Recreational boating impacts
 - Multi-jurisdictional (federal, state, county) problem solving
- ✿ Lower Great Lakes Erosion Study
 - Detailed data sets, Lake Erie and Ontario

Recent Initiatives

IJC Lake Ontario Study

- Most recent bi-national study pertaining to water level issues
- Lake Ontario – St. Lawrence River
- In part, striving for first truly, bi-national, comprehensive, consistent, coordinated coastal zone database.

Institutional Changes

- ✿ Break Issue Attention and other cycles and recognize long-term aspect and importance of data collection and monitoring
- ✿ Be proactive not reactive...plan for data needs of 2050 coastal zone managers
- ✿ Establish Coordinating Committee for Development of Coastal Zone and GIS Data
 - Use model of GL Hydrologic and Hydraulic Data Coordinating Committee